ECON 4151 Lab Session: OVB

Wonjin Lee

Washington University in St.Louis

October 9, 2020

Omitted variable bias

- Structural equation:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 yeared_i + \beta_2 AFQT_i + \nu_i$$

- β_1 has a causal interpretation.
- Instead, we run

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 yeared_i + \epsilon_i$$

- On average, what will this regression identify? What will \hat{b}_1 be an estimate of?

Omitted variable bias

- The bivariate regression formula:

$$b_{1} = \frac{\text{Cov}(\text{yeared}, \text{y})}{\text{Var}(\text{yeared})}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Cov}(\text{yeared}, \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\text{yeared}_{i} + \beta_{2}AFQT + \nu_{i})}{\text{Var}(\text{yeared})}$$

$$= \frac{\beta_{1}\text{Var}(\text{yeared}) + \beta_{2}\text{Cov}(\text{yeared}, AFQT)}{\text{Var}(\text{yeared})}$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}\frac{\text{Cov}(\text{yeared}, AFQT)}{\text{Var}(\text{yeared})}$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}\delta_{1}$$

where δ_1 is coming from

$$AFQT_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 yeared + residual$$

Omitted variable bias

- $b_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\beta_2 \delta_1}{\delta_1}.$
- You should know the following table by heart and get good at signing the bias.

	$Corr(x_1, x_2) > 0$	$Corr(x_1, x_2) < 0$
$\beta_2 > 0$	positive bias	negative bias
$\beta_2 < 0$	negative bias	positive bias

- In our previous example, we would have a positive omitted variable bias. Why?
 - 1. β_2 was likely positive i.e. intelligence has a positive effect on earnings, conditional on years of schooling, and
 - 2. δ_1 was likely positive, i.e. people with higher intelligence have, on average, more schooling.